## Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

$\boxtimes$	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplen	nental				
LRB	Number	11-1042/4		Introdu	uction Num	nber A	B-0150	)				
Description Interest rates on payday loans and loans by licensed lenders												
	Effect											
	No State Fisc Indeterminate Increase I Appropria Decrease Appropria Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Reven Decrea	ase Existing	to al	ease Costs bsorb withi Yes rease Cos	in agency'					
Local	No Local Go Indeterminat  1. Increas Permis  2. Decrea	e Costs sive 🔲 Manda	3.	se Revenue ssive Mand ase Revenue ssive Mand	Gove datory	es of Local ernment Ui Towns Counties School Districts		Cities 0				
Fund Sources Affected  Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FFD PRO PRS SEG SEGS s. 20.144(1)(g)												
	iPR 🔲 FEC	D 🔯 PRO	PRS S	SEG 🔲 SE	GS S. 20.144(	(1)(g)						
Agen	cy/Prepared	Ву	1	Authorized S	ignature			Date				
				Susan Dietzel	an Dietzel (608) 267-0399							

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DFI 5/26/2011

LRB Number	11-1042/4	Introduction Number	AB-0150	Estimate Type	Original					
Description										
Interest rates on payday loans and loans by licensed lenders										

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill makes changes to the requirements for lenders licensed under s. 138.14 and s. 138.09. For loans made under s. 138.14 (payday loans), it limits the annual percentage rate to 36%. It also expands the definition of a licensed lender under s. 138.09 to include lenders (who are not otherwise exempt) who make consumer loans exceeding \$5,000 and prohibits lenders from charging an annual percentage rate of interest greater than 36% on closed end loans.

There are currently 474 licensed payday lenders licensed under s. 138.14. These lenders typically make loans well above 36%. Additionally, there are an estimated 500 lenders licensed under s. 138.09 that have been identified as making shorter term, higher interest rate loans. It is possible these 974 licensees will either discontinue operations, operate illegally without a license, or change their business model to make loans that fall outside of the requirements of s. 138.09 or s. 138.14 (open end credit, for example).

Estimated revenue reduction: 974 x \$500 annual renewal = \$487,000 New licensees not licensed = \$25,000 Total estimated reduction in revenue = \$512,000

Costs associated with these lenders would not measurably be affected as the Department would still need to maintain a reasonable level of complaint resolution and enforcement activity.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications**